

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/717,754 | 11/20/2003 | Thomas H. Craft | 4280 8803 | |
| 7: | 590 09/13/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| Harris Zimmerman | | | ROSENBAUM, MARK | |
| Law Offices of Harris Zimmerman Suite 710 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1330 Broadway | | | 3725 | |
| Oakland, CA 94612-2506 | | | DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/717,754 | CRAFT, THOMAS H. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Mark Rosenbaum | 3725 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence address - | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status · | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju | uly 2005. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 and 26-30 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable | | Evaminer | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | · | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | • | ` ' | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-15,26-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/29/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schaller. Figure 3 of the patent shows the claimed apparatus.

Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brewer. Figure 4 of the patent shows the claimed apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaller in view of Brewer. It is not clear from Schaller how the cutting plates are held in the desired position. Brewer shows similar apparatus including the use of keys 12 to

Art Unit: 3725

hold the plates in the desired position. In order to hold the plates in the desired position, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Schaller by using keys as the locating means, taught to be desirable by Brewer.

Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brewer in view of Schaller. Brewer does not appear to offset his knives. This could result in damaged apparatus. Schaller solves this problem by showing similar apparatus including the use of offset knives. In order to prevent the apparatus from being damaged, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Brewer by offsetting the knives, taught to be desirable by Schaller.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/717,754

Art Unit: 3725

Mam Page 4

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR